



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/981,583	02/03/1998	ACHIM DICKMANNS	028622/0/0	8241

7590                    08/23/2002

FOLEY & LARDNER  
3000 K STREET NW SUITE 500  
PO BOX 25696  
WASHINGTON, DC 200078696

[REDACTED] EXAMINER

HARRIS, ALANA M

[REDACTED] ART UNIT

[REDACTED] PAPER NUMBER

1642

DATE MAILED: 08/23/2002

93

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	08/981,583	DICKMANNS ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Alana M. Harris, Ph.D.	1642	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Alana M. Harris, Ph.D. (3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Jayme A. Huleatt. (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 22 August 2002.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-12, 16-22, 29-31, 33-35 and 38.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Examiner notified Applicants' representative, Ms. Huleatt that an updated search and review of the entire file needed to be established in order to determine patentability of the application. Noteworthy is that the arguments presented after final and submitted July 17, 2002 are sufficient to obviate the 103 rejections of record, however an updated search is necessitated.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

  
 ANTHONY C. CAPUTA  
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Examiner's signature, if required